- (2) IN ITS DISCRETION, THE COURT TO WHICH THE CASE IS TRANSPERRED MAY TAKE [[FUTHER]] PURTHER ACTION.
- (B) EVERY DOCUMENT, SOCIAL HISTORY, AND RECORD ON FILE WITH THE CLERK OF COURT PERTAINING TO THE CASE SHALL ACCOMPANY THE TRANSFER.
- 3-810. COMPLAINT: PRELIMINARY PROCEDURES.
- (A) ANY PERSON OR AGENCY HAVING KNOWLEDGE OF FACTS WHICH MAY CAUSE A PERSON TO BE SUBJECT TO THE JURISDICTION OF THE COURT MAY FILE A COMPLAINT WITH THE INTAKE OFFICER OF THE COURT HAVING PROPER VENUE.
- (B) IN CONSIDERING THE COMPLAINT, THE INTAKE OFFICER SHALL MAKE A PRELIMINARY INQUIRY AS TO WHETHER THE COURT HAS JURISDICTION AND WHETHER JUDICIAL ACTION IS IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE PUBLIC OR THE CHILD. HE MAY, AFTER SUCH INQUIRY AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS SECTION, (I) AUTHORIZE THE FILING OF A PETITION, (II) CONDUCT A FURTHER INVESTIGATION INTO THE ALLEGATIONS OF THE COMPLAINT, (III) PROPOSE AN INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT OF THE MATTER, OR (IV) REPUSE AUTHORIZATION TO FILE A PETITION.
- (C) THE INTAKE OFFICER MAY AUTHORIZE THE FILING OF A PETITION IF, BASED UPON THE COMPLAINT AND HIS PRELIMINARY INQUIRY, HE CONCLUDES THAT THE COURT HAS JURISDICTION OVER THE MATTER AND THAT JUDICIAL ACTION IS IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE PUBLIC OR THE CHILD. THE INTAKE OFFICER SHALL INFORM THE PARTIES, PREFERABLY IN PERSON, OF HIS DECISION TO AUTHORIZE THE FILING OF A PETITION AND THE REASONS FOR HIS DECISION.
- (D) THE INTAKE OFFICER MAY CONDUCT A FURTHER INVESTIGATION IF HE CONCLUDES BASED UPON THE COMPLAINT AND HIS PRELIMINARY INQUIRY, THAT FURTHER INQUIRY IS NECESSARY IN ORDER TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE COURT HAS JURISDICTION OR WHETHER JUDICIAL ACTION IS IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE PUBLIC OR THE CHILD. THE FURTHER INVESTIGATION SHALL BE COMPLETED AND A DECISION MADE BY THE INTAKE OFFICER WITHIN 10 DAYS, UNLESS THAT TIME IS EXTENDED BY THE COURT.
- (E) THE INTAKE OFFICER MAY PROPOSE AN INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT OF THE MATTER IF BASED ON THE COMPLAINT, HIS PRELIMINARY INQUIRY, AND SUCH FURTHER INVESTIGATION AS HE MAY MAKE, HE CONCLUDES THAT THE COURT HAS JURISDICTION BUT THAT AN INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT, RATHER THAN JUDICIAL ACTION, IS IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE PUBLIC AND THE CHILD. IF THE INTAKE OFFICER PROPOSES AN INFORMAL ADJUSTMENT, HE SHALL INFORM THE PARTIES OF THE NATURE OF THE COMPLAINT, THE OBJECTIVES OF THE ADJUSTMENT PROCESS, THE COMPLAINT, AND PROCEDURES UNDER WHICH IT WILL BE